

Due to reporting errors, a Jan. 27 story on attorney Victor Sher incorrectly stated that Sher and lawyer Scott Summy developed a defective product theory that resulted in a \$92 million settlement for a South Lake Tahoe water district in 2002. Actually, the Tahoe argument was developed by Sher and Duane Miller of Sher's former firm, Miller Sher & Sawyer. Miller was the lead attorney in the 2002 case. And the final value of the settlement actually was \$69 million.

Summy developed a similar argument in a separate case that was consolidated with the Tahoe case for discovery purposes.

We regret the error.